

**Meeting of Woodford Neighbourhood Forum**  
held at Woodford Community Centre on 6 Mar 2017 8:00pm

Attendance	Present	Apologies received
Mr Robin Berriman (RBB)	√	
Mr David Buszard (DB)	√	
Mr Paul Rodman (PR)		Corresponding
Ms Evelyn Frearson (EF)	√	
Mr John Knight (JK)		√
Mr Paul Goodman (PG)	√	
Mr Terry Barnes (TB)		√
Mr Bryan Leck (BL)	√	
Mr Roger Burton (RBU)		√
Mr Robin Brammar (RBR)		Corresponding
Mrs Dorothy Chesterman (DC)		
Ms Jane Sandover (JS)	√	
Mrs Jude Craig (JC)	√	
Ms Maxine Wood (MW)		√
Mr Ron Beatham (RBe)		
Mr Alan Bramwell (AB)		Corresponding
Mrs Janet DeVechis (JDV)		√
Mrs Morag White (MWh)	√	
Mrs Doreen Neil (DN)		
Ms Zoe Jones		√
Mr Chris Coppock (CC)		√

\*Corresponding members wish to be kept in the loop but cannot routinely attend meetings

<p><b>1. Welcome</b> DB opened the meeting and welcomed those present.</p>
<p><b>2. Apologies</b> TB, RBU, JDV, MW, ZJ</p>
<p><b>3. Declaration of conflicts of interest</b> None.</p>
<p><b>4. Minutes from previous meeting on 6 Feb</b> Approved.</p>
<p><b>5. Matters arising</b> Covered by the agenda.</p>
<p><b>6. Neighbourhood Plan next steps</b></p> <p><b>6.1 Review of Emma Curle's comments</b> The comments and the minutes of the Plan group meeting on 17 Feb had been circulated prior to this meeting. At that plan group meeting, Emma Curle's comments had been reviewed and given traffic light colour coding, as suggested by JK. These had been circulated in an appendix. There were no objections in this full meeting to the proposed coding. PG noted that this had worked well and should aid progress towards the Plan.</p>

## 6.2 Review of residents' comments

These had been circulated prior to this meeting. They were reviewed during the meeting and decisions taken on whether policies needed to be amended. The majority did not constitute a need for amendment.

**Action:** Those comments which the group felt should be acted upon will be taken into consideration during amendments following the meeting with SMBC.

## 6.3 Meeting with SMBC

It was agreed that EF would proceed to set up a meeting. It was noted that Brian Bagnall had reported that Emma Curle (EC) would be going on maternity leave in approx. two months. EC to be asked for a selection of dates, which WNF would aim to work around. Suggested attendees for WNF were JK, TB, EF, RBB and RBU (if happy to attend)

Documents to be sent prior to the meeting:

1. WNF responses to EC's comments with colour code showing green for agree, or amber for discussion required.
2. Justification and Evidence section for Environment policies as an example of how we will approach justification, evidence and referencing

**Action:** EF to proceed to set up meeting with SMBC

PG noted that when an Inspector requests changes to a neighbourhood plan, it is the council which makes the changes.

PG said that recent case law has shown that neighbourhood plans do not have to conform to every point in the local plan. It was agreed PG would circulate details of the case, and that EF would forward these to Emma as it might help in the proposed meeting."

**Action:** PG to circulate document.

## 6.4 Justification and evidence style sample

The sample for Environment section prepared by EF was approved. Other section authors to write a section for their respective policies in similar style.

**Action:** JS, RBU, PG, JK, DB

## 6.5 Preparation of the Pre-submission Consultation Plan document

JC has a template in mind. Authors to keep the text formatting simple for ease of editing. Text, photos and maps to be inserted into template and formatted when everything is ready.

**Action:** JC to circulate sample

## 7. WCC report

### Redrow

DB reported that the recent liaison meeting with Redrow, issues around trees, drainage, the siting of the compound and out of hours working had been discussed in the WCC meeting, which had taken place just before this one.

PG enquired about progress with the conditions associated with the planning permission. JES reported that cycle racks have been installed at Poynton station.

PG noted that a Travel co-ordinator should be in place.

**Action:** progress with conditions to be raised at the next liaison meeting.

JES noted that the Phase 2 planning application includes non-native species for the perimeter hedges and suggested that native species would be more in keeping with the character of the area and better for wildlife. It was agreed that we should make this suggestion to Redrow.

**Action:** raise at the next liaison meeting.

### **GMSF**

The WCC meeting just prior to this one had covered Woodford group interaction with Save Greater Manchester's Greenbelt group, including the meeting with mayoral candidates and the proposed rally in Manchester on 1<sup>st</sup> April.

PG reported that a barrister had recently made a presentation, which included GMSF. Points raised included that the mayor will have CPO powers, but no budget. He/she will have the power to produce a strategic plan but it has to be agreed by all councils and the mayor. The mayor will not have power to allocate sites.

**\*Note:** further details of both these items will be provided in due course in the minutes of the WCC meeting 6<sup>th</sup> March 2017

### **8. AOB**

#### **CEC Local Plan, NCGV**

EF reported that Handforth are preparing a neighbourhood plan. CEC had excluded the North Cheshire Garden Village from the neighbourhood area, but after protests from the neighbourhood plan team, it is now included.

### **9. Next meetings**

3<sup>rd</sup> April 7:30 pm Community Centre bar area

\*WCC meeting minutes are to be found on the WCC website here:

<http://www.woodfordcommunity.co.uk/meetings.htm>

Evelyn Frearson 8<sup>th</sup> March 2017