

Meeting of Woodford Neighbourhood Forum
held at the Deanwater Hotel
at 7:30 pm on Monday 2 Feb 2015

Attendance

	Present	Apologies received
Mr Robin Berriman (RBB)	√	
Mr David Buszard (DB)	√	
Mrs Helen Buszard (HB)	√	
Mr Paul Rodman (PR)		√
Evelyn Frearson (EF)	√	
Mr John Knight (JK)	√	
Mr Paul Goodman (PG)	√	
Mr Terry Barnes (TB)	√	
Colin Griffin (CG)		
Mr Bryan Leck (BL)	√	
Mr Roger Burton (RBU)	√	
Mr Robin Brammar (RBr)		√
Mrs Dorothy Chesterman (DC)	√	
Ms Jane Sandover (JS)		√
Mrs Jude Craig (JC)	√	
Ms Mrs Maxine Wood (MW)	√	
Mr Ron Beatham (RBe)		
Mr Alan Bramwell (AB)		
Mr Colin Moody		√
Mrs Janet DeVeichis	√	
Mr Nigel Hancock	√	

	Action
<p>1. Welcome TB chaired the meeting and welcomed attendees.</p>	
<p>2. Apologies Apologies received from PR and JS. EF reported that PR is normally busy on Mondays and has offered to stand down from Exec Committee if we want the place for a more active member. <i>[EF later realised that PR had meant the Management Committee rather than Exec Committee]</i></p>	
<p>3. Minutes from previous meetings Minutes from the 12th meeting approved apart from amendment to item on meetings coinciding with bank holidays as the first Mon in Aug is not a BH.</p>	EF
<p>4. Matters Arising Media contact: EF reported that she has updated Geoffrey Lean of Telegraph on latest status of development plans. No response received to date. TB noted that he had spoken to Stockport Express and made “no comment” on no call-in by SoS. SMBC contact: RBB reported that he had arranged for meeting at 10 am on 10th Feb. 4 people from SMBC would attend including David Johnstone, Richard Wood and Emma Curle. It was decided that RBB, DB, RBU and JK would attend on behalf of WNF.</p>	RBB, DB, RBU and JK <i>(TB added after meeting)</i>
<p>5. Funding: 2014 grant expenditure RBB reported that an invoice was raised by WCC to Forum for remaining £600 for web site maintenance and exhibition and, hopefully, we had been able to get full amount of the grant. RBB had filled out grant monitoring form. Restricted to 50 characters per box.</p>	

<p>6. Woodford Aerodrome development update: Section 106 and Conditions</p> <p>HB and other committee members reported that noise and vibration had been noticed by residents. JD noted that an earthquake had been reported recently in Stoke.</p> <p>It was agreed that incidents should be reported it via official avenues and that HB would find out contact details and report on behalf of WCC.</p>	<p>HB</p>
<p>7. Update on CEC Local Plan public examination: Workshop</p> <p>PG had reported that the Inspector was now working on something else. Meanwhile, CEC are doing 6 month's work to address issues. They had appointed a company called ARUP assessed GB around Cheshire West. Sarah Lewis presented on their behalf. ARUP didn't have an answer to many questions. ARUP is likely to do a superb job. Will be a validated external piece of work so will have more weight with politicians. Consultant not yet appointed for the spatial distribution work. PG requested another workshop with them. Huge amount of work proposed in this work-stream. PG had asked what progress had been made on transport study. Seemed likely that none had been made. CEC needed to get the base dates sorted out if they are doing a new urban study. It will be Sep at earliest before Examination can resume.</p>	
<p>8. SEMMMS liaison update</p> <p>RBu reported that the last meeting was 8 Sep. There will be crucial work around junctions at Woodford Road. A new bridge will be built as temporary measure. They hadn't decided how traffic would be handled. The spoil will be redistributed on site. Clays will be consolidated in situ. Work on bridge will not make access easier. Crested newts need moving. Works depot will be near oil terminal. Duration of work will be 2 years.</p> <p>Proposed WNF response had been circulated round WNF committee and comments taken into account. The WNF response main points were: divert traffic away from village were poss; congestion will move traffic onto lanes and create safety issues; minimise traffic through Woodford; co-ordinate opening of E&W sections. The response was approved to go to SEMMMS project team in SMBC.</p>	<p>RBu</p>
<p>9. Neighbourhood Plan</p> <p>i. Business and clubs questionnaires</p> <p>JC reported that printing was being delivered next day and the business questionnaire was on WNF web site. EF reported that JS had offered to sort out addressing of envelopes.</p> <p>ii. 2015 funding application</p> <p>JK reported that the web site was saying that the programme starts from April. Criteria had been issued previously. All groups receive £8000. Some groups with more complex issues get £6K plus packages of support, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - High growth - Neighbourhood Forum rather than Parish Council - Clusters of Parishes working together - Groups preparing neighbourhood development orders (which don't need planning permission) - Deprived areas - Areas with over 25K population <p>Period will run for the financial yr. Can make multiple applications. More resources are</p>	<p>JS, EF</p>

<p>available to help progress plan more quickly. Gives WNF a work programme for the next month to define:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Issues - Project plan - Vision - Time line - Talk to other communities who have progressed further <p>JK suggested NP grp meets to agree project plan and activities, identify gaps in policy in SMBC compared with Cheshire, and come to next meeting with proposals. CEC encouraging communities to develop NP. WNF likely to be eligible for funding as we meet criteria for added funding. Might be eligible for 2 or 3 pots of money. We need to put forward a plan which identifies positive uses for land in GB and also identify exceptions. Landscape assessment, local housing study to find out need for social housing, transport needs. Four big areas we could look at are: Housing, Community facilities, Environment, Transport. Plan team to organise sub-group meeting.</p> <p>RBu reported that a revised vision statement had gone back to Kirkwells. JK suggested WNF use our web site more interactively.</p>	<p>RBu, JK, JS, NH, AB</p>
<p>10. AOB</p> <p>RBB noted that Marple is the second borough in SMBC to wish to develop a plan.</p> <p>RBu reported that, as a parent, his daughter had been informed that the new school on Aerodrome site will be managed by Queensgate School.</p>	
<p>Next meeting: March 2nd</p>	<p>All</p>

Evelyn Frearson 17 Mar Feb 2015