

**Meeting of Woodford Neighbourhood Forum**  
held at the Deanwater Hotel  
at 7:30 pm on Monday 12 Jan 2015

**Attendance**

	Present	Apologies received
Mr Robin Berriman (RBB)	√	
Mr David Buszard (DB)	√	
Mrs Helen Buszard (HB)		√
Mr Paul Rodman (PR)		√
Evelyn Frearson (EF)	√	
Mr John Knight (JK)	√	
Mr Paul Goodman (PG)	√	
Mr Terry Barnes (TB)	√	
Colin Griffin (CG)		√
Mr Bryan Leck (BL)	√	
Mr Roger Burton (RBU)	√	
Mr Robin Brammar (RBR)		√
Mrs Dorothy Chesterman (DC)	√	
Ms Jane Sandover (JS)	√	
Mrs Jude Craig (JC)	√	
Ms Mrs Maxine Wood (MW)	√	
Mr Ron Beatham (RBe)	√	
Mr Alan Bramwell (AB)	√	
Mr Colin Moody		√
Mrs Janet DeVeichis	√	
Mr Nigel Hancock	√	

	Action
<p><b>1. Welcome</b> TB chaired the meeting and welcomed attendees.</p>	
<p><b>2. Apologies</b> Apologies received from HB, CG, PR.</p>	
<p><b>3. Minutes from previous meetings</b> Minutes from the 3<sup>rd</sup> Nov meeting were approved.</p>	
<p><b>4. Matters Arising</b>  <b>Media contact:</b> EF to update Geoffrey Lean of Telegraph on latest status of development plans.  <b>Monitoring report:</b> RBB and DB completed the report on time.  <b>Response to Manchester Spatial Framework:</b> JK reported that Boylan is leading the team. Other communities involved. Those representing developers argue that we need GB release to bring in executives etc. Specific requests made for GB release. PG reported that powers of the elected mayor are currently uncertain. Higher level document expected.            SEMMMS liaison groups: EF accepted Styal Parish Council invitation to their liaison group on SEMMMS. RBU to attend formal SEMMMS meeting and report back.</p>	<p><b>EF</b></p> <p><b>RBU</b></p>

<p><b>5. Funding</b></p> <p><b>5.1 2014 grant expenditure</b></p> <p>RBB reported that grant ran out end Dec 2014. RIBA obtained £6,300 out of total of £6,900 allowable. Invoices for Deanwater Hotel, 2 days at Centre, stationery, print, and WCC will use up most of remaining funds. £500 unspent. Deadline 15 Jan.</p> <p><b>5.2 2015 grant</b></p> <p>JK noted that the new funding is more targeted, time bound and geared to commissioning consultants. No longer funding information to public about neighbourhood planning. If we apply, likely to get it. Could suit us. 1200 groups nationally.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Apply end of Jan early Feb</p>	<p><b>All: ideas for £500 of remaining grant</b></p> <p><b>Deferred</b></p>
<p><b>6. Woodford Aerodrome development update</b></p> <p><b>6.1. SoS decision not to call in</b></p> <p>Decision notice can't be issued until s106 is signed. Once decision notice issued there can be a legal challenge. Short window of weeks to challenge. Temporary injunction is another option. Will anyone challenge? PR to explore.</p> <p><b>6.2. Section 106 agreements</b></p> <p>PG noted that Section 106 agreements are difficult to interpret without seeing the conditions and plans. Conditions will be lengthy. No requirement for council to consult on conditions.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Assume information sent as preview not for consultation. EF to reply to Emma Curle, ask for plans.</p> <p>Other points which arose included: Demolition docs say they will have bat person and bird person on site 24/7. Sewerage in Woodford is allegedly already at full capacity (article in press).</p> <p><b>6.3. WCC/WNF/SMBC liaison</b></p> <p>It was agreed that a good working relationship with SMBC is needed. The idea that separate groups should liaise on Aerodrome and Plan issues, respectively, was debated. The idea of 2 sub-groups to focus on and progress the Plan and Aerodrome issues was explored.</p> <p><b>Decisions:</b></p> <p>WCC and WNF are nearly the same people, so work could not be subdivided that way.</p> <p>Aerodrome sub-group to include DB, TB, MW, JC and JS.</p> <p>Neighbourhood Plan sub-group to include RBu (project manager), JK, JS, NH and AB.</p> <p>Request meeting with Paul Lawrence and Richard Wood to discuss: our progress with NP and the way forward with liaison with council.</p>	<p><b>PR</b></p> <p><b>EF</b></p> <p><b>RBB to contact Richard Wood</b></p>
<p><b>7. Update on CEC Local Plan public examination</b></p> <p>PG updated the committee. The Inspector suspended proceedings. CEC given 3 alternatives. Council chose to put things right in 6 months. Then the enquiry will reconvene and put forward major changes. The Inspector will consider and decide whether to endorse. Could be Sep before it reconvenes. The Inspector will write a report. He will suggest that CEC advertise amendments to the Plan for consultation.</p>	

<p>Likely to be mid 2016 likely before a Plan emerges.</p> <p>There is a meeting on 23 Jan to explain what they will do about GB and Spatial Distribution. PG will attend on behalf of Handforth PC. JK on holiday. EF to attend on behalf of WNF.</p>	<p><b>PG and EF to attend CEC workshop</b></p>
<p><b>8. Hockley Group meeting report</b></p> <p>DB reported that TB, DB and RBB attended, Woodford, Poynton, Adlington were represented and that discussions included:</p> <p>Status of airfield development.</p> <p>SEMMMS held up on EU regulations on air quality. CPOs not all sorted but not expected to hold it up.</p> <p>Poynton Relief road is £9 million short according to council. There is a compensation fund. Group thinks route agreed as green route. Relief road will be 1yr behind SEMMMS. PA expected by end of this year. Completion expected by 2019/2020. Underpass will expensive and need pumping.</p> <p>Adlington NP progressing. They have done survey. About to analyse it. Poynton survey about to go out. Going out to businesses and schools shortly. Mottram not pursuing plan at the moment. Poynton plan problematic due to what's happened in CE. Poynton and Adlington have areas which cover the airfield.</p> <p><b>Decisions:</b> Continue liaison. Share information on policies in Plans further down line.</p>	<p><b>TB, DB, RBB</b></p>
<p><b>9. Neighbourhood Plan</b></p> <p><b>9.1 Kirkwell's reports</b></p> <p>RBU reported that 3 tenders had been considered. Kirkwells were chosen who ran workshop and have submitted draft reports, which have been seen by Exec Committee and proof read by EF.</p> <p>Once final drafts prepared these will be circulated around whole team and posted on web site.</p> <p>Further workshops will be organised. The first one just covered principles. A final vision will be drawn up.</p> <p><b>9.2 Business and clubs questionnaires</b></p> <p>These have been drafted. Need final proof reading before printing. EF to circulate.</p> <p><b>Decisions:</b></p> <p>Create on line versions for web site. Add sentence to hard copy to explain. Use post where possible. Stamps purchased. JS to supply addresses. Take questionnaires by hand to larger businesses to discuss with them in person.</p> <p><b>9.3 Next steps</b></p> <p>As Woodford has no schools we could use material being developed by the Poynton group.</p> <p>Once we have all questionnaire results go proactively to SMBC talk about them.</p> <p><b>9.4 Is a Plan the best thing for Woodford?</b></p>	<p><b>RBU</b></p> <p><b>EF, JC</b></p> <p><b>JC, EF</b></p> <p><b>JS</b></p> <p><b>?</b></p> <p><b>Names to be decided</b></p>

<p>There was a debate about the merits and disadvantages of preparing a Plan for Woodford.</p> <p>Points raised included: Could gaps be identified in SMBC policy which could be usefully filled by an NP? Housing needs will be met by the Harrow development so an Allocations Plan is not needed. How will the Harrow development affect interpretation of policy for development in Woodford? Could we produce a Plan that worked against us?</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Further discussion needed. All to consider the issues. Plan team in particular to address these issues.</p>	<p><b>All</b></p>
<p><b>10. Bank holiday Mondays</b></p> <p>Meetings scheduled for first Mondays in April and May are affected by Bank Holidays</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Move these meetings to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Monday in the month.</p>	<p><b>PR or EF to inform Deanwater</b></p>
<p><b>Next meeting</b> Mon 2<sup>nd</sup> Feb</p>	<p><b>All</b></p>

Evelyn Frearson 1<sup>st</sup> February 2015