

Meeting of Woodford Neighbourhood Forum
held at the Deanwater Hotel
at 7:30 pm on Monday 5 Oct 2015

Attendance	Present	Apologies received
Mr Robin Berriman (RBB)	√	
Mr David Buszard (DB)	√	
Mrs Helen Buszard (HB)	√	
Mr Paul Rodman (PR)		√
Evelyn Frearson (EF)	√	
Mr John Knight (JK)	√	
Mr Paul Goodman (PG)	√	
Mr Terry Barnes (TB)	√	
Mr Bryan Leck (BL)	√	
Mr Roger Burton (RBU)		√
Mr Robin Brammar (RBR)		√
Mrs Dorothy Chesterman (DC)	√	
Ms Jane Sandover (JS)	√	
Mrs Jude Craig (JC)		√
Ms Maxine Wood (MW)	√	
Mr Ron Beatham (RBe)	√	
Mr Alan Bramwell (AB)		√
Mrs Janet DeVeChis		
Mr Nigel Hancock	√	
Mrs Morag White		√
Mrs Doreen Neil		√

	Action
<p>1. Welcome TB chaired the meeting and welcomed attendees.</p>	
<p>2. Apologies RBU, PR, MWh, DN, AB,</p>	
<p>3. Minutes from previous meetings Approved.</p>	
<p>4. Matters arising Redrow PA amendment response: EF reported that a response was submitted and acknowledged. CEC local plan statements: EF reported that statements were submitted and acknowledged. Housing Needs Assessment circulation: Action carried forward - to be sent to elected reps and posted on website.</p>	EF and JC
<p>5. AGM review and feedback It was agreed that the AGM had gone well and, although 36 attendees was a small number, it was perhaps more than expected. Disappointment was expressed that nobody from SMBC had attended or any of our elected reps. It was noted that Mary Robinson would now be in London and very busy much of the time.</p>	

AGM presentation to be sent to SMBC – EF	EF
AGM presentation and minutes to be circulated to members when approved (EF) and posted on web site (JC)	EF and JC
BB to be contacted about presentation to Area Committee – who?	DB
<p>6. Election of officers</p> <p>The following officers were re-elected: Chairman: TB, proposed by BL, seconded by DC, elected by show of hands. Vice Chairman: DB, proposed by TB, seconded by EF Treasurer: RBB, proposed by EF, seconded DB Hon Sec: EF, proposed by TB, seconded by JS</p>	
<p>7. Items for web site</p> <p>EF reported that a number of items had been circulated via email for approval for posting on the website including: final AECOM report, revised text for birth of WNF, AGM presentation, AGM minutes. If no objections had been received by Wed 7 Oct, then these would be posted.</p>	EF and JC
<p>8. Neighbourhood Plan update</p>	
<p>8.1 New business survey update</p> <p>NH reported that 105 businesses had been identified in Woodford. Some of these may not be relevant: no longer trading or trading elsewhere. Many were self-employed people working from home. A much shorter list of bigger employers had been compiled for the new style business survey. This had produced 20 responses from 21 major employers approached to date. All were happy with their lot and identified nothing for Forum to do. Future changes predicted: Davenport Arms would like to develop; Deanwater Hotel would like more restaurants in the area.</p> <p>A Woodford Business Forum was suggested by one employer. HB to raise with WCC.</p> <p>Next step: Post a report on web site. Plan grp to discuss how to disseminate results.</p> <p>RBB asked whether we should survey any more businesses.</p> <p>JK noted that the Forum could allocate the existing business sites for continuing use to avoid change of use to houses</p> <p>Bodycote building sale and proposed change of use was discussed. It was agreed to wait for PA and then comment.</p>	<p>HB</p> <p>Plan group</p> <p>Deferred</p>
<p>8.2 Movement study (PBA)</p> <p>JK reported that Peter Brett of PBA was visiting Woodford on another errand and could have surveyed but nobody available to meet him. They are close to finishing a draft report, which will be circulated for comments and questions.</p>	
<p>8.3 Landscape study (AECOM?)</p> <p>JK reported that this has come to a halt. Plan grp were hoping that AECOM might do survey. Locality has said that this area is not priority for landscape because the Forum area is not a growth area. Adlington have not commissioned one. Plan grp to explore with Locality the option of applying with two other parishes.</p>	Plan Group
<p>8.4 Wildlife study</p> <p>EF reported that CWT had supplied a quote for a desk study for £575 +VAT. Committee approval was needed. RBB suggested we apply for a grant. JK noted that WNF will not be a priority for funding.</p> <p>A local habitat survey by volunteers led by EF had been suggested as a supplement to the CWT study. The exact remit and dependence of the CWT study on this was not completely clear. Problems foreseen were the enormity of the task and the imminent closure of the survey season at the end of Oct. EF to clarify with Rachel Giles of CWT.</p>	EF

<p>It was noted that Poynton bypass surveys will overlap with our area, so we could explore whether these are available.</p> <p>Committee volunteers for habitat survey were: EF, MW, JC, JS, TB</p> <p>Funding to be discussed by plan group at meeting on Friday.</p>	Plan group
<p>8.5 Footpath survey</p> <p>EF noted that some of the same people who had volunteered for this had also volunteered for the habitat survey. Footpath survey not dependent on season so it was agreed to put on hold until habitat survey completed. To include pavements (footways) and public footpaths. JS reported that she has two council maps which cover footpaths. DB suggested we use reference numbers and traffic light colour code system to indicate condition.</p> <p>It was noted that the footpath through MWh's land currently stops at the airfield. When footpaths across the former airfield are reopened it could connect to the footpath near the Avro Golf Club. Golf club is leased from Harrow.</p>	
<p>8.6 Objectives data analysis and review (for web site)</p> <p>JK reported that the data analysis is to be completed by plan group, circulated for review and approval electronically</p>	
<p>8.7 Progress report (for web site)</p> <p>Ditto.</p>	
<p>8.8 Further consultation</p> <p>JK expressed the view that another round of consultation is needed on 6 to 8 major issues. For example: does village need affordable housing, if yes should it go on the Aerodrome? We could ask people to rank solutions in priority order. We need to decide on the timing and form it would take. He suggested that we identify the most controversial issues and take soundings. It was agreed that the plan grp will flesh out and put proposals to main committee.</p> <p>RBB suggested that a questionnaire would be much better than a workshop or exhibition and the proposal was supported.</p>	
<p>8.9 Policy writing</p> <p>Deferred until after the next round of consultation.</p>	
<p>9. CEC Local Plan Examination meetings</p> <p>PG reported that there was to be a Procedural Meeting the next day. He predicted that attendees are likely to tell the inspector that there is confusion. Questions will include: what is the current Local Plan? CEC have added late info to web site. More sites have been put forward as examples to show that CEC could find sites. There are hearings of the Examination on 21-23 and 28-30 Oct to discuss results of additional work and information requested by the Inspector. If he decides that this had satisfied his questions, the Examination will proceed and there will be another round of public consultation.</p>	
<p>10. Harrow and Redrow liaison meetings</p> <p>EF reported that Carl Taylor had been held up by traffic and was unable to attend. The meeting had been chaired by Liam Sullivan and discussions had included the effect of the road works on access to local shops, the need for a new planning application by Redrow in order to transfer any of the D1 space allocation for use by WWMCC, traffic calming measures in Moor Lane and the dangers posed by increase in traffic on Church Lane. JC had taken notes and internal minutes giving more detail would be circulated in due course.</p>	
<p>11. Poynton bypass consultation</p> <p>A leaflet had been distributed but many had not received it. EF agreed to scan and circulate. Responses to consultation could be made on line. An exhibition is to be held at the Community Centre on 21 and 22 Oct. Deadline for responses is 30 Nov.</p>	
<p>12. AVRO Heritage museum and Vulcan flight</p> <p>TB reported that the VIP opening on Thu 8 Oct. The Mayor of Manchester, and the Mayor and Mayoress of Stockport will attend. An Anson will fly over at midday. There will be a model aircraft display.</p>	

<p>The Vulcan flight will be in Sat 10 Oct. It will be entry by tickets only for cars, cyclists and motor cyclists. No pedestrians. Allowed to have 1000 cars on site. Gates open at 11. Vulcan at approx. 2 pm. There will be a model aircraft display. Museum will be opening in Nov. Sponsors have offered to paint the white Vulcan over the winter. Next year there will be an event with the Lancaster.</p>	
<p>13. AOB</p> <p>PG and JK reported that the professional body for planners is organising a day on Neighbourhood Planning next summer (JK noted that he is involved in the organisation of the day).</p> <p>DB reported that he had visited the Jones site on Adlington Rd. They have sold a part of the site to another builder. The Jones houses will be 4 and 5 bedroom prices will be 500K- 1 million. 7 prestige ones will face directly onto Adlington Rd.</p> <p>The construction industry has not got the capacity in bricks or skills to meet the predicted increase in building.</p> <p>EF enquired when our prepayment for hire of the room at the Deanwater expires. RBB informed the meeting that we have paid until the end of 2015. There was a discussion about alternative venues which included: the Community Centre, Garden Centre, Avro Heritage Museum. No decision was made. To be raised again.</p>	<p>All</p>
<p>14. Next meeting 2nd Nov, 7:30, Deanwater Hotel.</p>	<p>All</p>

Evelyn Frearson, 17 Oct 2015. Revised 1 Nov 15 and 3 Jan 16