

Meeting of Woodford Neighbourhood Forum
held at Woodford Community Centre on 5 Dec 7:00pm 2016

Attendance	Present	Apologies received
Mr Robin Berriman (RBB)	√	
Mr David Buszard (DB)	√	
Mr Paul Rodman (PR)		Corresponding
Evelyn Frearson (EF)	√	
Mr John Knight (JK)	√	
Mr Paul Goodman (PG)	√	
Mr Terry Barnes (TB)	√	
Mr Bryan Leck (BL)	√	
Mr Roger Burton (RBU)		√
Mr Robin Brammar (RBR)		Corresponding
Mrs Dorothy Chesterman (DC)		√
Ms Jane Sandover (JS)	√	
Mrs Jude Craig (JC)	√	
Ms Maxine Wood (MW)	√	
Mr Ron Beatham (RBe)	√	
Mr Alan Bramwell (AB)		Corresponding
Mrs Janet DeVeChis (JDV)	√	
Mrs Morag White (MWh)		√
Mrs Doreen Neil (DN)	√	
Zoe Jones		√

*Corresponding members wish to be kept in the loop but cannot routinely attend meetings

<p>1. Welcome TB opened the meeting and welcomed those present.</p>
<p>2. Apologies DC, ZJ, RBU, MW</p>
<p>3. Declaration of conflicts of interest None</p>
<p>4. Minutes from previous meeting on 7 Nov Approved</p>
<p>5. Matters arising</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>• Redrow conditions JS and PG reported on the Redrow conditions, which include drainage and a travel co-ordinator. At the WCC AGM, PG had given a note to Emma Curle note saying that SMBC are in contravention of the conditions and Redrow should have employed someone to give new residents a travel plan. JK and PG agreed that the S106 agreement is a contract between the developer and council, so both SMBC and Redrow failing to meet their obligations. Action: WNF write to SMBC to ask whether they are aware that some houses are occupied, state that one of WNF objectives is integration and a WNF committee member has been to talk to residents. JC (helping EF with admin tasks) to draft letter and run it passed committee.</p> <p>• Redrow community liaison DB reported that, on behalf of WCC, HB has made contact with Paul Sinclair of Redrow asking for a liaison</p>

meeting.

- **Utilising funding available for transport improvements**

It was an action of RBU to contact Sue Stevenson. In view of RBU's personal circumstances it was agreed the JC would follow this up. A list of projects, budget and priority is needed so that SMBC can estimate costs.

Action: JC to contact RBU.

- **TB to pass press contact to WCC**

Action: TB will provide contact details to DB.

- **Plan group meetings to be reinstated**

EF reported that two meetings were held in November.

6. Neighbourhood Plan next steps

6.1. Next steps and timeline (prepared by JK)

JK explained the next steps which had been outlined in a note circulated prior to the meeting (appended below). Timescales are as follows: Stage 4: 6-wk consultation after Easter until beginning June.

Stage 5: Submit to SMBC at end August. Aim to finalise by next year.

Decision: The committee approved the steps and proposed timescale

6.2. Responses to policy consultation

EF reported that 25 people had responded. Two had supplied suggestions to detail. It was agreed that two people had submitted useful comments

Action: To be reviewed at next meeting

6.3. Meeting with SMBC

It was noted that SMBC said they would respond with initial comments within 10 working days. JK reported that the Poynton group had asked for an officer to talk to them but were told they were too busy.

GMSF proposals are irrelevant to the Neighbourhood Plan as they are not the basis on which it will be judged.

PG reported that extremely good questions from the floor at AGM. Clear that the GMSF doc is premature, no resource behind it. Hopefully, get through referendum before GMSF becomes policy.

Action: EF to reply to David Johnston saying that we look forward to their response by the date they suggested.

6.4. Filling gaps in evidence library

EF reported that habitat survey reports and CWT report will be completed by Easter

JK noted that CWT did a report for Poynton and it includes private gardens, which some residents objected to.

Action: EF to press on with habitat reports after the festive season

6.5. Preparing the Plan documents

JK explained that the pre-submission version of the Plan document is in progress. JS looked into who we need to consult. Came up with big list. SMBC will not have list for NP. JK suggested that we make our own list and present it to SMBC. JC has prepared a draft for the Consultation Statement and is working on a design template for the Plan document. EF has prepared a style template for the Justification and Evidence sections for each policy which will be prepared by the authors of each section and presented in an appendix.

GMSF response has top priority up to 23rd Dec.

Actions:

- Work on the Plan to proceed after the festive season.
- Plan group to prepare list of consultees.

- JS to supply maps as required to section authors
- It was agreed to produce a Plan document of the highest quality WNF can afford.

6.7 Publicity for the Pre-submission consultation

The pros and cons of an exhibition were debated. The alternative of a power point presentation at set times was put forward and agreed would significantly reduce work load and costs

The Centre has been booked for an exhibition/power point presentation on 12th and 25th May. Other suggestions included U-tube.

Publicity will be needed for the exhibition/presentation. Suggestions included Parish magazine (copy deadline 1st March), flyer and Inside Bramhall magazine.

Action: to be progressed in January

6.8. WNF funds

RBB reported that remaining funds are as follows:

£1393.51 of grant prepaid: £500 with WMMCC, £436 with WCC, £180 with Deanwater Hotel, and £273 with Boss.

RBB noted that, if expenditure is likely to be greater we could apply for another grant

RBB noted that the WNF account includes £1,900 of WU funds which are ring-fenced for GMSF.

Action: Funds with Boss and WCC should be enough to fund 10 high quality copies of the Plan document, flyers, magazine article and power point presentation plus a few posters.

7. WCC and GMSF update

DB provided an update including the following points:

- PR has stood down as WCC Chair due to family and business commitments.
- DB is the new WCC Chair.
- Another WCC flyer on GMSF will go out. A map and text will be on the front, objections to GMSF will be on the back. Aiming to finalise next week and use new volunteers for delivery.
- WCC new members include Avril Furness and Chris Coppock.
- 4 WCC members will attend CPRE meeting on 7th Dec. Chance to liaise with other areas.
- CPRE noted that GMSF plan proposes 70% brown field sites, while previous coalition government, under north west regional spatial strategy, had 85-95% brown field target, which is a substantial reduction. There is no longer a delivery mechanism for brown field sites, so house builders will say can't deliver.
- A suggestion arose that use of brown field could be linked with use of greenfield sites to make development pay. To be raised at meeting.
- WCC will put comments in to GMSF.
- SMBC have invited landowners to meetings. PR and MWh will be attending and will report back.
- Next Area Committee meeting will be held on 15th Dec at Community Centre.

8. WNF response to GMSF consultation

Duty to co-operate. Forum response comes as part of the planning process. We have done all the work for the NP. Qualifying body.

9. AOB

- PG noted that public questions at WCC AGM were very good points.
- Bodycote: no PA for pub submitted.
- TB reported an upcoming meeting with Harrow people about a garage PA for Avro museum. Security offices are to be demolished.
- DB reported that Cllr Brian Bagnall encourages us to come up with alternative sites and to think about possible brownfield site alternatives to Green Belt use.

10. Next meetings

9th January 8 pm following WCC meeting at 6.30 pm.

Evelyn Frearson 12th December 2016