

Woodford Neighbourhood Plan Response Form

Please be assured that under no circumstances whatsoever do we part with your details to third parties. Your views are important to us and as such we fully respect your privacy. Any data collected here is used solely for the purpose of preparing the Woodford Neighbourhood Plan

Please respond by 30th June 2018.

This consultation will run from 16th May 2018 until 30th June 2018

*Name: Emily Hrycan

*Organisation - If you are replying on behalf of a business based in Woodford please enter the name of your organisation here:
Historic England

*Address: Canada House, 3 Chepstow Street, Manchester

*Postcode: M1 5FW

email:Emily.hrycan@HistoricEngland.org.uk

*Required field

I do not reside in Woodford but I am employed / work in Woodford

Let us know whether you agree with the proposed Woodford Neighbourhood Plan? If not, what would you change? Is there anything else we should consider?

SUPPORT	I support the proposed Woodford Neighbourhood Plan	
OBJECT	I object to the proposed Woodford Neighbourhood Plan*	x

* If you object, please give reasons in the comments boxes below

Comments

Please use the spaces provided below for more detailed comments, whether you support or object (you may use extra paper if necessary).

My comments about the environment policies:

My comments about the employment policies:

My comments about the community & heritage policies:

Historic England welcomes the Plan's recognition of the community value of heritage to the Plan area and the contribution this make to quality of life.

In addition we have the following comments to make on Policy COM3: Local Protection of Heritage Assets:

- The title and the content of the policy would benefit from amendments which would provide better clarity to the type of assets that it covers and how it will be applied.
- The title as drafted is confusing – does “local” mean in Woodford i.e. location? Or is it referring to assets which are defined on a local list? Or does it mean all heritage assets and if so, how does local protection differ to statutory protection and will it reduce the existing provisions that apply to designated heritage assets in the relevant acts and the NPPF? Therefore, it is suggested that the title be amended.
- Reference to *heritage value* should be amended to read significance of the asset. In determining applications it is the significance of the asset that is important not its value.
- Reference is only made to *structure* or *building* in line 1 – heritage assets are more than structures and buildings. Indeed with reference to the content of your list of features of value to the community, this includes other types of assets, and this needs to be reflected within the policy.
- The second half of the first paragraph switches to content that deals with the production of a local list. This is somewhat confusing as the policy starts with a development management led policy and then jumps to list of criteria; a strategic policy which would not be used to determine planning applications. A suggestion would be to split it into two sections.

My comments about the development policies:

My comments about the Village Action Plan:

My comments in general about the Woodford Neighbourhood Plan:

I wish to be kept informed by email about Plan progress and Forum news

I do not wish to be kept informed by email about Plan progress and Forum news

Please post / deliver this response to Woodford Community Centre, Chester Road, Woodford. SK7 1PS.
Letterbox is on the side of the main hall porch. Thank you for your time and input, it is much appreciated.

www.woodfordnf.co.uk

Your Village, Your Plan, Your Say – Response Deadline 30th June 2018