



Plan Working Group

Notes of Meeting No 20. 18th March 2016

Attendees: Paul Goodman, Evelyn Frearson, Jane Sandover, Doreen Roberts, Roger Burton, John Knight

Apologies: David Buszard, Judith Craig, Terry Barnes, Alan Bramwell (corresponding)

| | | Action |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1. | The notes of meeting 19 on 4 th March were accepted as a true record and can be circulated to the committee. | RB/EF |
| 2. | Matters Arising | |
| | <p>2.2.2.4.4.2 The committee had agreed that a meeting with Stockport Homes should take place. JK has spoken to Chris Mumby, Development Director of Stockport Homes with a view to organising this. SH would welcome the opportunity to talk to us about integration. It is understood that Redrow have not made a deal with any of the six or so providers. Invitations to put in proposals invited three weeks ago so probably too early for Redrow to have made a decision. Plan group to write to Redrow and ask what progress. JK to draft a letter.</p> <p>3.3.1 No further news from Aecom re the Landscape study. RB to advise if any further news.</p> <p>3.3.2. The Grant had been received by the Museum and payments had been made for the agreed activities which it supported.</p> <p>3.3.4. The possibility of funding road safety works around the village in part funded from the Compensatory Mitigation Scheme had been discussed at the last meeting. It was felt that the best way to progress this would be through the Community Council who would develop proposals and liaise with SMBC– it was not strictly in the remit of the Plan group and needed progressing immediately. Agreed that RB to write to WCC with this suggestion.</p> <p>3.5. RB had written to Tim Booth of Harrow with a view to organising a meeting to discuss proposed masterplanning of the Bodycote site and surrounds. [Post meeting note. This had now been agreed for Tuesday 5th April attended by Tim for Harrow, PB and RB for the Plan Group and Robin for the Forum and WCC.]</p> | <p>JK</p> <p>RB</p> <p>RB</p> |

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| 3 | Progress | |
| | <p>3.1. Progress on developing the policies was reviewed. It was the intention to complete all the drafts and then consider formatting, consistency and style.</p> <p>3.2 Integration. Work in progress and would be drafted by the next meeting. May be informed by the discussions with Harrow noted in 2.3.5.</p> <p>3.3. Community. All questionnaires for charities, organisations etc. retrieved from NH. JS has sent out further questionnaires to raise further interest and extend evidence base. The policy may be one of protecting what is there already and of</p> | RB |



| | | |
|-----------|---|---|
| | <p>value and looking 15 years ahead to think what people need. The policy should list assets of community value. JK suggested that SMBC should hold such a list but this may have not yet been initiated. Check with David Johnston. Work continuing.</p> <p>3.4. Employment. Draft policy in place</p> <p>3.5. Landscape and Environment. Draft policy in place but will be supplemented as data emerges from the studies. The Wildlife Study was now instructed. EF recognised that the SMBC Core Strategy does have good coverage of these issues and no wish to duplicate in our policies. Could respond to this by supporting the Core Strategy in our policy.</p> <p>3.6 Housing. Several policies have been drafted but again much is already covered by the Core Strategy. Still needs progress in areas such as affordable housing and this may follow the meeting noted above with Stockport Homes. JK can put forward draft policy alternatives in certain areas for consultation. Will edit and precis before the next meeting.</p> <p>3.7 Movement. Again, much is already covered by the Core Strategy so our policy would support this and could list the specific policies which applied to Woodford.</p> | <p>JS</p> <p>EF</p> <p>JK</p> <p>PG</p> |
| 4 | Next steps | |
| | <p>4.1 Continue with the preparation of policies.</p> <p>4.2 Consultation around the draft policies would be undertaken by the Communications Group but the Plan group needed to consider how the emerging policies and policy options would be best presented for the consultation.</p> | <p>All</p> <p>All</p> |
| 5. | Any other business | |
| | No other business discussed. | |
| 6. | Next meeting | |
| | <p>The next meeting of the Plan Working Group will be Friday 1st April at 4.00pm (and fortnightly thereafter).</p> <p>Venue: The Deanwater</p> | |